

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

|                 | APPLICATION NO.                        | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR      | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|-----------------|--|-------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
|                 | 09/954,899                             | 09/18/2001  | Bror H. Hanson 1641.00005 |                         | 8597                    |  |
| 7590 06/26/2003 |  |             |                           | •                       |                         |  |
|                 | Bliss McGlynn & Nolan, P.C.            |             |                           | EXAMI                   | EXAMINER                |  |
|                 | Suite 600<br>2075 West Big Beaver Road |             |                           | JOLLEY, KIRSTEN         |                         |  |
|                 | Troy, MI 48084                         | 34          | •                         | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|                 |  |             |                           | 1762                    | <del>- L</del>          |  |
|                 |  |             |                           | DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003 | DATE MAILED: 06/26/2003 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| <del></del>                                     |  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)  |
|---|--|--|---|
|   |  | 09/954,899   | HANSON, BROR H.   |
|   | Office Action Summary  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |
|   |  | Kirsten Crockford Jolley   | 1762  |
| Period fo                                       | The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply  | n app ars on the cover shet with the   | he correspondence address   |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt - Any | MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS C | ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply l on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABAND | be timely filed  ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| 1)  | Responsive to communication(s) filed on  | ·  |   |
| 2a)□  | This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□   | This action is non-final.  |   |
| 3)□   | Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur   |  |   |
|   | ion of Claims  | e.   |   |
| 4)⊠   | Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the applic  |  |   |
| e. [  | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with  | ndrawn from consideration.   |   |
| ·   | Claim(s) is/are allowed.   |  | •   |
| ·   | Claim(s) is/are rejected.  |  |   |
| · _   | Claim(s) is/are objected to.   | d/or alaction requirement  |   |
| •   | Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> are subject to restriction and ion Papers   | u/or election requirement.   |   |
|   | The specification is objected to by the Exa  | miner.   |   |
| •   | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[]   |  | Examiner.   |
| ,   | Applicant may not request that any objection   |  |   |
| 11)   | The proposed drawing correction filed on _   | is: a)□ approved b)□ disap   | pproved by the Examiner.  |
|   | If approved, corrected drawings are required   | in reply to this Office action.  |   |
| 12)   | The oath or declaration is objected to by th   | e Examiner.  |   |
| Priority (                                      | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120   |  |   |
| 13)   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo   | reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11  | 19(a)-(d) or (f).   |
| a)  | ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  |  |   |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority docur  | ments have been received.  |   |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority docur  | ments have been received in Appli  | cation No   |
| * (   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International See the attached detailed Office action for a second control of the control of the action for a second control of the cont    | al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | _   |
| 14)[] A   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don  | nestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1  | 19(e) (to a provisional application).   |
|   | a)  The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor  | •  |   |
| Attachmen                                       | at(s)  |  |   |
| 2) Notic  | ce of References Cited (PTO-892)<br>ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948<br>mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No  | 5) Notice of Inform  | mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)<br>mal Patent Application (PTO-152)  |
| S. Patent and T                                 | Frademark Office   |  |   |

Application/Control Number: 09/954,899

Art Unit: 1762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a mold-release coating system, classified in class 428,
 subclass 34.1.

II. Claims 11-16, drawn to a method for forming a mold-release coating system on a mold surface, classified in class 427, subclass 133.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another materially different process, for example the product can be made by applying the wax layer as a solid, applied by friction rubbing/coating, instead of as a liquid.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Daniel Bliss on June 23, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1762

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kirsten Crockford Jolley whose telephone number is 703-306-5461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1193.

kci June 25, 2003

Kirsten Golley Kirsten C. Jolley Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700